

The American Society of Breast Surgeons 2022

Title: A DCIS biosignature with a novel residual risk subtype identifies patients with varying risk and RT benefit among younger and high grade DCIS patients

Background: High nuclear grade and young age (<50 years) are two clinicopathologic factors commonly used to make treatment decisions in patients with DCIS. However, randomized studies have failed to identify low-risk patients who did not benefit from radiation therapy (RT) after breast-conserving surgery (BCS) and those at elevated-risk despite RT, potentially leading to over- or under-treatment of DCIS. Here we validated DCISionRT and our novel integrated residual risk subtype (RRt) biosignature (PreludeDx, Laguna Hills, CA) to assess 10-year risk of ipsilateral breast recurrences (IBR) in patients with grade 3 disease and/or young age (<50 years) treated with definitive BCS with or without RT.

Methods: The integrated DCISionRT+RRt biosignature was evaluated in women diagnosed with DCIS (no microinvasive disease) from 4 multinational cohorts: Uppsala University Hospital, Sweden (1986-2004), University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA (1999-2008), Kaiser Permanente Northwest, Portland, OR (1990-2007), and Royal Melbourne Hospital, Australia (2006-2011). Patients were treated with BCS with and without RT. A central pathology review and biosignature testing was performed on formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue at a CLIA-certified lab (Laguna Hills, CA). The biosignature reported a “decision score” (DS+) and RRt status. Individual patient outcome and biosignature results were analyzed independently (McCloud Consulting). The clinical utility of the integrated biosignature was assessed in three groups of patients: a) Low Risk (DS+≤2.8), b) Elevated Risk (DS+>2.8 without RRt) and c) Residual Risk (RR) (DS+>2.8 with RRt).

Results: Of 926 patients, 471 were either grade 3 and/or young (<50 years) and were the focus of this analysis. For this subgroup, the integrated biosignature classified patients into Low Risk (n=150, 32%), Elevated Risk (n=165, 35%), and Residual Risk (n=156, 33%) groups, Table 1. The 10-year total IBR risk for women in the Low Risk group without RT (all Grade 3 and/or <50 years) was only 2.2% and there was no significant improvement with adjuvant RT (D=-0.8%, p=0.334). In contrast, the Elevated Risk group had elevated 10-year IBR risk (27.6%) and benefited significantly from RT (D=23.80%, p<0.001). Both the Elevated Risk and the Residual Risk groups benefited significantly from RT (p<0.001), but there was higher IBR risk after RT in the Residual Risk group (13.2% vs. 3.8, p=0.01).

Results: The integrated biosignature demonstrated prognostic and predictive RT response among women with grade 3 disease and/or young age (<50) and reclassified them into three distinct groups: (1) Low Risk with a 10-year total IBR risk of only 2.2% with BCS alone with no significant RT benefit; (2) Elevated Risk with a 10-year IBR risk of 27.6% without RT, reduced to 3.8% with RT; and (3) Residual Risk with the highest risk without RT and elevated residual 10-year risk of 13.2% after RT. When using grade or age alone, one-third of patients were over treated with RT. These findings are consistent with the results from the whole cohort analysis (n=926), illustrating that neither high-grade DCIS nor young age were significant risk factors after accounting for biosignature results.

Table 1. 10-Year IBR Rates in Patients Treated with BCS with and without Adjuvant RT

	Grade 3 and/or Age Under 50 n=471			All Patients n=926		
	Low Risk Group DS+ ≤ 2.8	Elevated Risk Group DS+ > 2.8 without RRT	Residual Risk Group DS+ > 2.8 with RRT	Low Risk Group DS+ ≤ 2.8	Elevated Risk Group DS+ > 2.8 without RRT	Residual Risk Group DS+ > 2.8 with RRT
Number of Patients	150	165	156	342	395	189
% Nuclear Grade 3	51%	74%	93%	22%	31%	77%
% Age <50	59%	45%	31%	26%	19%	26%
No Radiation	2.2% (0.3-14.5%)	27.6% (16.6-43.8%)	55.1% (33.5-79.2%)	4.8% (2.0-11.4%)	21.0% (14.2-30.4%)	52.1% (33.2-73.9%)
Radiation	3.0% (1.0-9.0%)	3.8% (1.4-9.8%)	13.2% (7.7-22.1%)	4.7% (2.5-9.0%)	5.0% (2.9-8.7%)	13.7% (8.3-22.2%)
Absolute Risk Reduction	-0.8% (-6.2-4.6%)	23.8% (9.9-37.8%)	41.9% (17.6-66.2%)	0.1% (-5.0-5.2%)	16.0% (7.6-24.4%)	38.4% (17.1-59.7%)
p-value	0.33	<0.001	<0.001	0.78	<0.001	<0.001

Authors:

Julie A. Margenthaler, MD
Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO

Frank Vicini, MD
GenesisCare, Farmington Hills, MI

Chirag Shah,
Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute, Cleveland, OH

Rachel A. Rabinovitch, MD
University of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, CO

Mylin Torres, MD
Emory University Winship Cancer Institute, Atlanta, GA

Fredrik Wärnberg, MD PhD
University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

Sheila Weinmann, MPH PhD
Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR

G Bruce Mann, MBBS
Royal Women's Hospital, Parkville, Australia

Pat Whitworth, MD
Nashville Breast Center, Nashville, TN

Rakesh Patel, MD
Good Samaritan Cancer Center, Los Gatos, CA

Brian J. Czerniecki, MD
Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL

Michael Leo, PhD
Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR

Jess Savala, MD
PreludeDx, Laguna Hills, CA

Karuna Mittal, PhD
PreludeDx, Laguna Hills, CA

Steven C. Shivers, PhD
PreludeDx, Laguna Hills, CA

Troy Bremer, PhD
PreludeDx, Laguna Hills, CA