Changes in treatment recommendation for patients with ductal carcinoma in situ using a 7-gene predictive biosignature: Analysis of the PREDICT Study

Pat W Whitworth, MD[1], Steven C Shivers, PhD[2], Chirag Shah, MD[3], Rakesh Patel, MD[4], Karuna Mittal, PhD[2], Troy Bremer, PhD[2], Charles E Cox, MD[5]

[1]University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA; [2]PreludeDx, Laguna Hills, CA, USA; [3]Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA; [4]Good Samaritan Hospital, Los Gatos, CA, USA; [5]University of South Florida Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, FL, USA

Background

- The role of adjuvant radiotherapy (RT) following breast conserving surgery (BCS) for women with ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) remains controversial.
- Although definitive trials provide Level 1 evidence supporting the use of RT in reducing the risk of local recurrence, the same randomized trials demonstrate that approximately 70-80% of DCIS patients do not have a local recurrence at 10 years after BCS alone.
- The DCISionRT® Test (PreludeDx™, Laguna Hills, CA) is a 7-gene predictive biosignature that uses tumor biology in conjunction with clinicopathologic factors.
- The test provides a validated score (DS) for women receiving BCS that assesses 10-year risk of DCIS recurrence and development of invasive breast cancer with and without adjuvant RT.
- We established a registry to evaluate the decision impact of the 7-gene predictive biosignature on DCIS treatment recommendations.

Methods

- The PREDICT study is a prospective, multi-institutional registry for patients who received DCISionRT testing as part of their routine care.
- The registry includes females 26 and older who are diagnosed with DCIS and are candidates for BCS and eligible for RT or systemic therapy.
- Treating physicians completed treatment recommendation forms before and after receiving test reports to capture surgical, radiation and hormonal treatment (HT) recommendations and patient preferences.
- The primary endpoint is to identify the proportion of patients where testing led to a change in RT recommendation.
- Additional analyses include changes in recommendations in patient subgroups based on clinicopathologic factors or clinician specialty.

Results

- Analysis was performed in 2,304 patients treated at 63 clinical sites.
- The median age of patients was 62 years old (18% < 50 years old), nuclear grade was high in 33%, and tumor size was 2.5 cm or greater in 11%.
- Test results were DS Low Risk (DS ≤ 3) for 63% of women and 37% were DS Elevated Risk (DS > 3).
- Overall, RT recommendation (yes/no) was changed for 38% of women after the 7-gene biosignature testing and HT recommendation was changed for 11%.
- There was a net decrease in RT recommendation from 71% pre-assay to 53% post-assay (p<0.001), where RT recommendations decreased 53% in DS Low Risk patients but increased 25% in DS Elevated Risk patients.
- Surgeons were more likely to change their RT recommendation (47%) than radiation oncologists (35%).
- When test results indicated DS Elevated Risk, both surgeons (79%) and radiation oncologists (88%) were likely to recommended RT, but when the results were DS Low risk, surgeons were more likely than radiation oncologists to recommend omitting RT (82% vs. 60%, respectively).
- Compared to traditional clinicopathologic features, the factor most strongly associated with RT recommendation was the biosignature result with other factors of importance being patient preference, tumor size and grade.

(center callout)

- **DCISionRT changed RT recommendations in 38% of women overall (n=2304)**
- **40%** of women initially recommended RT were **NOT** recommended RT after DCISionRT
- **34%** of women initially **NOT** recommended RT were recommended RT after DCISionRT

Figure 1. RT Recommendation Pre- and Post DCISionRT Test Results

Overall Impact, n=2304, p<.001

Pre-Assay: + RT n=1635 | No RT n=669
Post-Assay: + RT n=986 | No RT n=649 | 229 | 440
19% net decrease
38% change

Figure 2. DCISionRT Decision Impact on RT Recommendation

Pre-DCISionRT: + RT n=1635; no RT n=669
Post-DCISionRT: + RT n=1215; no RT n=1089
986, 649, 229, 440

TABLE 1. Impact of the 7-gene predictive biosignature on adjuvant radiation recommended overall and by clinicopathologic factors

Clinical Factor	N	RT Recommended			Pre- to post-test change in RT recommended		Total change in RT recommended		
		Pre-test (%)	Post-test (%)	Net change (%)	Yes to no (%)	No to yes (%)	Overall change (%)	Overall change (95% CI)	p-Value
Overall	2304	71	53	-18	40	34	38	36, 40	<0.001
Age, years									
≤ 50	422	80	47	-33	45	15	39	35, 44	<0.001
> 50	1886	69	54	-15	38	37	38	36, 40	<0.001
Nuclear Grade									
1 or 2	1553	64	48	-16	45	34	41	39, 44	<0.001
3	755	86	64	-22	27	31	34	29, 35	<0.001
Tumor Size									
≤ 2.5 cm	1534	65	48	-17	43	31	39	37, 41	<0.001
> 2.5 cm	218	90	71	-20	26	38	27	22, 33	<0.001
RTOG 9804-like Criteria*									
'Good Risk'	1125	61	44	-17	49	33	43	40, 46	<0.001
Not 'Good Risk'	1183	81	62	-19	33	37	34	31, 36	<0.001

*RTOG 9804-like criteria (nuclear grade 1 or 2, non-palpable, screening detected, negative margins)

Conclusions

- This analysis demonstrates significant changes in recommendations to add or omit RT based on the 7-gene predictive biosignature in 2,304 patients.
- The integration of DCISionRT into clinical decision processes has substantial impact on recommendations aimed at optimal management to prevent over- or under-treatment.

This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them at pwhitworth@tmembc.com for permission to reprint and/or distribute.